ABSTRACT SUBMISSION GUIDELINES

SUBMISSION OF ABSTRACTS

- Authors must use electronic submission ONLY.
- AATS is not responsible for work not submitted by the submission deadline.
- No new submissions may be added to the system after the posted deadline.
- Submissions must be submitted in their final form by the deadline to be considered for presentation. **Abstracts will be published exactly as submitted.** Proofread carefully to avoid errors.
- An author may not revise or resubmit a submission to make corrections after the submission deadline.
 Rather, the submission may be withdrawn or, if accepted, the error may be revised and indicated during presentation.
- All expenses (e.g., registration, airfare, lodging, etc.) associated with the submission and presentation are the responsibility of the presenter.
- Submission of an abstract constitutes a commitment by the author(s) to present if accepted. Failure to register for the activity and present the accepted work, if not justified, will jeopardize future acceptance of abstracts by AATS.

ABSTRACT SUBMISSION POLICIES

Author Name(s)

- There is no limit to the number of abstracts an author may submit.
- There must be a minimum of two (2) authors on the abstract submission.
- There is no maximum number of authors that may be listed on the submission.
- All co-authors must be listed on the abstract submission.
- Authors do not need to be AATS members to participate.
- Additions or deletions of author names are not permitted after the submission deadline.
- If an author's name appears on more than one submission, it must be identical on each submission.
- The submitting author listed for each case video serves as the presenting author and as the primary contact
 for all correspondence regarding the submission, unless otherwise specified in the contact information
 provided during the submission process.
- The presenting author must be one of the co-authors listed on the submitted abstract.
- If an author has more than one submission accepted for presentation, he/she may only present <u>one</u> submission personally and must assign one of his/her co-authors to present any subsequent abstracts.
- The submitting author **must** notify **all** co-authors that this work has been submitted.
- For ACCME purposes, the presenting author <u>cannot</u> be an employee of an ineligible company* if the content of the abstract relates to their employer's business line and/or products.

*Please note that ACCME defines an ineligible company as the following: An ineligible company is any entity producing, marketing, re-selling, or distributing health care goods or services consumed by, or used on, patients.

Format

- All abstracts **must** be structured using the following four section headings or they will not be considered. The labels must be submitted in bold font. Please see the sample abstract at the end of this document.
 - Objective: The hypothesis tested or purpose of the study.
 - Methods: Details of the study design or protocol.
 - **Results:** Results of the study with appropriate statistical inferences.

- Conclusions: Clinical importance and potential significance of findings.
- The text of your abstract **must** be less than 3,500 characters including spaces (title, authors, institutions, and one table or one image will not be counted).

Content

- Submissions containing identical or nearly identical data submitted from the same institution and/or authors will be disqualified, and all authors and co-authors may face a two-year sanction.
- Authors should not split data to create several abstracts from one study, clinical trial, and/or experiment. If splitting is judged to have occurred, priority scores of all related abstracts will suffer, and abstracts may be disqualified.
- The use of tables or graphics are encouraged if they are relevant to the research submitted. You may include one table <u>or</u> one figure within your submission. Please be mindful that tables and graphics, if the quality submitted is poor, will appear badly in print and electronic publications.
 - The submission site supports both .gif, .png, and .jpg graphic formats.
- Abstracts should clearly reflect the content of the completed paper.
- The abstract should <u>not</u> use abbreviations, which are not commonly accepted within cardiothoracic surgical literature. Commonly accepted abbreviations within the cardiothoracic surgical literature will be accepted (i.e. CABG, FEV1, GERD, CPR). However, other medical acronyms (i.e. bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome (BOS)) should be first spelled out.
- When percentages are used, the absolute numbers from which the fractions are derived must also be stated.
- The abstract language is English.

*Abstract submissions may be disqualified, sanctioned, and/or removed from the program if the policies listed above are not followed.

MANUSCRIPT SUBMISSION TO THE JTCVS

We encourage all abstract presenters to submit a manuscript based on their presentation to the *Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery* (JTCVS), preferably before the start of the meeting on **September 19**, **2024**

PLEASE NOTE - Please refer to the Journal <u>Information for Authors</u> at <u>www.editorialmanager.com/jtcvs</u> for submission guidelines and requirements. When submitting their paper, presenters must select the article type ["Mechanical Support 2024"] and follow submission directions for Original Manuscripts.

The following Embargo Policy and Waiver Policy applies only to the AATS Annual Meeting:

EMBARGO POLICY

- Abstracts submitted to the AATS Annual Meeting may not be presented or published by any other entity outside of the AATS Annual Meeting prior to selection notification by the Planning Committee.
- Once an abstract is accepted, it is <u>prohibited</u> from being submitted, presented, and/or published anywhere
 other than *The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery* (JTCVS), including online journals, prior to its
 presentation at the AATS Annual Meeting.
- Manuscript submission to the JTCVS is <u>mandatory</u> for all accepted abstracts with the exception of C. Walton Lillehei Resident Forum submissions, posters, or case video abstracts.
- Failure to follow this policy will jeopardize the eligibility of <u>all</u> authors to submit abstracts to future AATS activities and/or submit manuscripts for publication in the JTCVS for up to two years.

WAIVER POLICY

- Requests for waiver of mandatory manuscript submission for publication in JTCVS <u>must</u> be made during the abstract submission process.
- Additional details regarding a wavier of submission to JTCVS can be found on the Annual Meeting Submission guidelines per year.

AUTHORS' CONSENT AND WAIVER OF CLAIMS FOR ABSTRACT SUBMISSIONS

- Each author agrees they have read and consent to all rules and regulations as outlined, pertaining to the submission of abstracts. It is the responsibility of the author to be in accordance with these rules and regulations during all parts of, but not limited to, the abstract submission and review process.
- Upon submission, authors waive any and all claims against the AATS and any reviewer and/or Planning Committee Member pertaining to, but not limited to, the abstract submission and review process.
- If the presenting author has been trained or utilized by a commercial entity or its agents as a speaker (e.g. participation in the speaker's bureau) for any ineligible company, the promotional aspects of that work must not be included in the presentation in any way in order to comply with the Standards for Integrity and Independence in Accredited Continuing Education.
- Presenting authors must act in full accordance with <u>HIPAA Research Policies</u>. Any and all abstracts and presentation materials must follow these guidelines.

ABSTRACT WITHDRAWAL

Abstract withdrawal requests should be emailed to meetings@aats.org. Please include the activity name, your abstract control number, title, the presenting author's name, and the reason for withdrawal in your email.

ABSTRACT REVIEW

- After the submission deadline, all abstracts are considered Complete and will be forwarded to review.
- Submissions with an **Incomplete** status after the submission deadline will not be considered for presentation.
- Submitted abstracts are peer-reviewed by a panel of graders. Grading is blinded and selections for presentation are based solely on scientific merit.
- After the program is constructed, abstracts are then unblinded to assure a program balanced in authorship and institutional representation.

ABSTRACT NOTIFICATION

• Abstract notifications will be distributed to the submitting author via email. It is the responsibility of the submitting author to notify <u>all</u> co-authors of the decision.

COPYRIGHT

- Full text of accepted abstracts will be published as submitted in advance of the AATS activity.
- AATS, as holder of the copyright of the accepted abstract, reserves all rights of reproduction, distribution, performance, display, and the right to create derivative works in both print and digital formats.
- The audio-visual recording of the scientific presentations will be the property of the AATS.

ABSTRACT PRESENTATION

- All abstracts presented at AATS activities must conform to the eligibility criteria listed above.
- All presentations and questions-and-answer periods will be in English (unless otherwise instructed). If
 presenters need assistance in understanding or responding to questions in English, they are encouraged
 to have a member of their research group assist them.
- Each presenting author is required to comply with the AATS Disclosure Policy.
- Disclosure information collected during the submission process will be published in AATS's final program materials. To be in compliance with this policy, presenting authors must disclose at the beginning of their presentation.
- Any additional or revised guidelines for abstract presentation will be provided to presenting authors of accepted abstracts in their notification email.

ACCME GUIDELINES

Based upon ACCME criteria, authors should consider the following three questions when submitting an abstract:

- What quality gap (limitation or problem) in the practice of cardiothoracic surgery does this research address?
- How does this project change surgeon competence or improve patient outcomes?
- Does this abstract address one of the following ACCME Competencies: medical knowledge, patient care, interpersonal and communication skills, professionalism, practice-based learning and improvement and systems-based practice?

The American Association for Thoracic Surgery is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to provide continuing medical education for physicians. AATS additionally designates educational activities for *AMA PRA Category 1 Credits*TM. As such, the AATS must not only comply with the ACCME Criteria, Standards, and Policies, but also with those established by the AMA.

AATS has the obligation to ensure the delivery of CME content that is balanced, independent from ineligible companies, free of commercial bias, objective, and scientifically rigorous. As part of the call for abstracts process, AATS seeks to "maintain anonymity" during the review process, therefore, AATS requires that authors adhere to the guidelines provided below when submitting an abstract:

- Names of individuals, institutions, professional societies and organizations, and products cannot be
 included in the title nor in the body of the abstract (i.e. "1,000 Cases at University General Hospital" is
 NOT an acceptable title).
- Names of research databases may be included in the body of the abstract.
- Product and/or trade names may not be included in the title or body of the abstract. Please use
 generic, not commercial, names for all therapeutic agents.
- Brand promotion of devices or products is **not** allowed.
- Anyone who is in a position to control the content of the educational activity must disclose all financial
 relationships with any ineligible companies (those whose primary business is producing, marketing,
 selling, re-selling, or distributing healthcare products used by or on patients) and identify how the
 relationship(s) pertains to the content of the presentation. Failure to complete required
 disclosure(s) may result in disqualification of consideration for presentation at the AATS activity.
- Employees/owners of ineligible companies (as defined by the ACCME) may not be in control of CME content related to the business lines of their employer.

QUESTIONS

For further information on submitting an abstract for consideration, please visit aats.org or contact us:

American Association for Thoracic Surgery

800 Cummings Center, Suite 350-V, Beverly, MA, USA 01915 Telephone: +1 (978) 252-2200 | Fax: + 1 (978) 522-8469

E-mail: meetings@aats.org | aats.org

SAMPLE ABSTRACT

Randomized Trial of Mediastinal Lymph Node Sampling Versus Complete Lymphadenectomy During Pulmonary Resection in Patients with N0 or N1 (Less than Hilar) Non-Small Cell Carcinoma: Results of the ACOSOG Z0030 Trial

Gail E. Darling¹, Mark S. Allen², Paul Decker², Karla V. Ballman², Rodney J. Landreneau³, Robert J. McKenna⁴, David R. Jones⁶, Richard I. Inculet⁷, Valerie W. Rusch⁸, Joe B. Putnam⁵

¹University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada; ²Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; ³University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, PA; ⁴Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA; ⁵Vanderbilt University, Memphis, TN; ⁶University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA; ⁷University of Western Ontario, London, ON, Canada; ⁸Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY.

Objective: To evaluate whether mediastinal lymph node dissection (MLND) improves overall survival compared to mediastinal lymph node sampling (MLNS) in patients undergoing pulmonary resection for N0 or non-hilar N1, T1 or T2 NSCLC.

Methods: Patients with proven NSCLC underwent sampling of lymph node stations 2R, 4R, 7 and 10R for right sided tumors; and 5, 6, 7 and 10L for left sided tumors. If these lymph node stations were negative for malignancy, patients were randomized to no further lymph node resection (MLNS) or complete MLND. All surgeons were required to adhere to the technique described in written instructions and demonstrated in an approved instructional video. Following surgery, patients were followed for a minimum of 5 years.

Results: A total of 1,111 patients were randomized (555 MLNS and 556 MLND). After final eligibility review, 1,023 (498 MLNS and 525 MLND) patients were classified as eligible/evaluable. There were no significant differences between the two groups in terms of gender, race, age or ECOG performance status. The right upper lobe was the most common tumor location (MLNS: 213 vs MLND: 205) and adenocarcinoma was the most common histologic type in both arms (MLNS: 210 vs MLND: 235). There was no significant difference between the two arms in terms of type or extent of resection, stage, length of stay, morbidity or mortality. In the MLND group 20 patients (3.8%) were found to have occult N2 disease in the lymphadenectomy specimen. At a median follow-up of 6.3 years, 431 (42.1%) patients have died: 214 (42.9%) in the MLNS arm and 217 (41.3%) in the MLND arm. The median survival was 8.1 years (MLNS) versus 8.5 (MLND) (p=0.531). There were 493 recurrences including deaths: 54 local; 73 regional; and 224 distant. The median time to recurrence was 5.7 years in the MLNS group (243 recurrences; 24 local; 42 regional; and 110 distant) versus 6.1 years in the MLND group (250 recurrences; 30 local; 31 regional; and 114 distant) (p=0.655). There also was no difference for local (p=0.527) or regional recurrence (p=0.126) between the two groups.

Conclusions: MLND does not improve survival in patients with early stage NSCLC when a thorough preresection sampling of the mediastinal lymph nodes is negative. MLND also does not decrease the incidence of local or distant recurrences. These results are not generalizable to higher stage tumors.